arXiv:1211.2516v1 [math.DG] 12 Nov 2012

LOCAL OBSTRUCTIONS TO A CONFORMALLY INVARIANT EQUATION ON MÖBIUS SURFACES

MATTHEW RANDALL

Dedicated to Mike Eastwood on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract. On a Möbius surface, as defined in [1], we study a version of the Einstein-Weyl (EW) equation which we call scalar-flat Möbius EW (sf-MEW). This is a conformally invariant, finite type, overdetermined system of semilinear partial differential equations. We derive local algebraic constraints for this equation to admit a solution and give local obstructions. In the generic case when a certian Möbius invariant symmetric tensor $M_{ab} \neq 0$, the obstructions are given by resultants of 3 polynomial equations whose coefficients are Möbius invariants. The vanishing of the resultants is a necessary condition for there to be solutions to sf-MEW. In the non-generic case when $M_{ab} = 0$, we show that there is a solution to sf-MEW on the surface.

1. Introduction

Let $(M^2, [g])$ be a smooth 2-dimensional manifold equipped with a conformal structure $[g]$, that is an equivalence class of smooth Riemannian metrics under the equivalence relation $g_{ab} \mapsto \hat{g}_{ab} = \Omega^2 g_{ab}$ for any smooth positive nowhere vanishing function Ω. Since every metric g_{ab} in dimension 2 is locally a conformal rescaling of the flat metric δ_{ab}, conformal geometry in dimension 2 carries no local information. To remedy this, one can impose on conformal surfaces additional local structure present in conformal manifolds of dimension $n > 2$. This is the motivation behind Möbius structures [1]. A conformal surface with a Möbius structure will henceforth be called a Möbius surface. We can study on Möbius surfaces a well-defined conformally invariant equation, which we call the scalar-flat Möbius Einstein-Weyl (sf-MEW) equation. This equation specialises the Einstein-Weyl equation in conformal geometry in higher dimensions to the 2-dimensional setting. We derive algebraic constraints for a given Möbius surface to admit a solution to sf-MEW, and from there derive obstructions to existence of solutions to the equation. Abstract indices [3] will be used throughout the paper to describe tensors on the conformal manifold. We have already used g_{ab} to denote the metric tensor. For another instance, if we write ω_a to denote a smooth 1-form ω, then the 2-form $d\omega$ can be written as $\nabla_{[a}\omega_{b]} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_a\omega_b - \nabla_b\omega_a)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53A30; Secondary 35N10, 58J70.

Key words and phrases. Conformal differential geometry, Overdetermined systems with variable coefficients, Invariance and symmetry properties.

The author would like to thank Mike Eastwood for comments and suggestions.

2. CONFORMAL GEOMETRY AND MÖBIUS STRUCTURES

A Möbius surface is a smooth conformal surface $(M^2, [g])$ equipped with a smooth Möbius structure as defined in [1]. This is for any metric g_{ab} in the conformal class $[g]$, a symmetric tensor P_{ab} satisfying the following two properties:
1) The metric trace of P_{ab} is half the scalar curvature of g_{ab}, i.e. $g^{ab}\mathrm{P}_{ab} = R/2 = K$, where K is the Gauss curvature of M^2;
2) Under a conformal rescaling of the metric $\hat{g}_{ab} = \Omega^2 g_{ab}$, the tensor P_{ab} transforms accordingly as

$$\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{ab} = \mathrm{P}_{ab} - \nabla_a \Upsilon_b + \Upsilon_a \Upsilon_b - \frac{\Upsilon_c \Upsilon^c}{2} g_{ab},$$

where $\Upsilon_a = \nabla_a \log \Omega$. In 2 dimensions, the Schouten tensor P_{ab} is not well-defined and a Möbius structure remedies that by equipping the manifold with a tensor that behaves like the Schouten tensor. However, in contrast to the higher dimensional case, the tensor P_{ab} does not arise from the decomposition of the Riemannian curvature tensor on M^2, since in 2 dimensions we have

$$R_{abcd} = K(g_{ac}g_{bd} - g_{bc}g_{ad}).$$

We shall fix a representative metric g_{ab} from the conformal class $[g]$ (the metric would be viewed as having conformal weight 2) to raise and lower indices. The metric g_{ab} induces a volume form $\epsilon_{ab} = \epsilon_{[ab]}$ of conformal weight 2, and we set our convention so that $\epsilon^{ab}\epsilon_{cb} = \delta^a_c$. A conformal invariant of the Möbius structure is the Cotton-York tensor given by

$$Y_{abc} = \nabla_a \mathrm{P}_{bc} - \nabla_b \mathrm{P}_{ac}.$$

We can use the volume form ϵ_{ab} to dualise, so that

$$Y_{abc} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ab} Y_c,$$

where $Y_c = \epsilon^{ab} Y_{abc}$ is now a 1-form of conformal weight -2. Observe that

$$\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ab} Y^b = Y_{ab}{}^b = \nabla_a K - \nabla^b \mathrm{P}_{ab}.$$

The vanishing of Y_a characterizes flat (or integrable) Möbius surfaces.

3. THE SF-MEW EQUATION ON MÖBIUS SURFACES

Let $[\mathrm{P}]$ denote the Möbius structure on $(M^2, [g])$, which is the equivalence class of smooth tensors conformally related to P_{ab}. On Möbius surfaces $(M^2, [g], [\mathrm{P}])$, the sf-MEW equation for a representative metric $g_{ab} \in [g]$ is given by

$$\nabla_{(a}\alpha_{b)} + \alpha_a \alpha_b + \mathrm{P}_{ab} - \frac{\alpha_c \alpha^c}{2} g_{ab} = 0. \tag{1}$$

where α_a is some 1-form. The 1-form α_a is determined up to a gauge freedom; under a conformal rescaling of the metric $g_{ab} \mapsto \hat{g}_{ab} = \Omega^2 g_{ab}$, we have $\alpha_a \mapsto \hat{\alpha}_a = \alpha_a + \Upsilon_a$, where again $\Upsilon_a = \nabla_a \log \Omega$. The 1-form α_a determines a Weyl connection D_a on M^2. This is a torsion-free connection that preserves the conformal class $[g]$, or equivalently $D_a g_{bc} = 2\alpha_a g_{bc}$. In dimensions $n > 2$, the Einstein-Weyl equation implies that the symmetric part of the Ricci curvature of the Weyl connection D_a

is 0. In dimension 2, the sf-MEW equation implies that the scalar curvature of the Weyl connection D_a is 0. This justifies the terminology chosen for the scalar-flat Möbius Einstein-Weyl equation. The sf-MEW equation is a conformally invariant, finite type, overdetermined system of semi-linear partial differential equations. A common proceedure to treat equations such as (1) is through prolongation [2]. This involves expressing first derivatives of the dependent variables in terms of the variables themselves. Let $F_{ab} = \nabla_{[a}\alpha_{b]} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ab}F$ be the extra dependent variable where $F = \epsilon^{ab}F_{ab}$. We can rewrite (1) as

$$\nabla_a\alpha_b + \alpha_a\alpha_b + \mathrm{P}_{ab} - \frac{\alpha^c\alpha_c}{2}g_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ab}F. \tag{2}$$

Observe that tracing the equation gives $\nabla_a\alpha^a + K = 0$ as a necessary constraint of the system. Differentiating (2), we find that

$$\nabla_a F = -2\alpha_a F - Y_a$$

is a consequence of the original equation. The derivative of the extra dependent variable F is now given by known quantities α_a, F and Y_a of the system. The prolonged system is therefore given by

$$\nabla_a\alpha_b = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ab}F + \frac{\alpha^c\alpha_c}{2}g_{ab} - \alpha_a\alpha_b - \mathrm{P}_{ab}, \tag{3}$$

$$\nabla_a F = -2\alpha_a F - Y_a. \tag{4}$$

We can use the prolonged system to derive algebraic constraints for there to be a solution to (2). We obtain as a necessary condition for there to be solutions of (1) three polynomial equations in a single variable t with coefficients given by invariants of the Möbius structure, under the assumption that a certain Möbius invariant $M_{ab} \neq 0$. The resultants of any two of these 3 polynomials will then have to vanish for there to be a common root t, and since the resultants are given only by the invariants of the Möbius structure, we obtain obstructions for there to be solutions of (1). In the case where $M_{ab} = 0$, we show that there is a solution to (1) on the Möbius surface.

4. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

In the flat case when $Y_a = 0$, we necessarily have $F = 0$ by differentiating (4) and skewing. The 1-form α_a is therefore exact, and equation (2) specialises to the conformally Einstein equation for Möbius surfaces. We shall now restrict our attention to non-flat Möbius structures, that is one with Y_a non-zero. By (4) this ensures that $F \neq 0$. For any polynomials $P(t)$, $Q(t)$ in a single variable t, let $\mathrm{Res}(P(t), Q(t))$ denote the resultant of $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$. $\mathrm{Res}(P(t), Q(t)) = 0$ is necessary and sufficient for $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$ to share a common root. Let $P_0(t) = \sigma - 3\rho t^2$, where σ and ρ are Möbius invariants to be defined later. It turns out that in deriving the constraint equations for (1) to hold we have to distinguish between the cases $P_0(F) = 0$ and $P_0(F) \neq 0$. In the case where $P_0(F) = 0$ and (1) both hold on the Möbius surface, we have the vanishing of a symmetric tensor M_{ab} that is an invariant of the Möbius structure. For the formulation of Theorem

4.1, we therefore assume that $M_{ab} \neq 0$, which allows us to work locally in an open set $U \subset M^2$ where $P_0(F) = \sigma - 3\rho F^2 \neq 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $(M^2, [g], [\mathrm{P}])$ *be a Möbius surface, with* $M_{ab} \neq 0$. *Suppose* $(M^2, [g], [\mathrm{P}])$ *admits a solution to (1). Then there exists polynomials* $P_1(t)$, $P_2(t)$, $P_3(t)$ *in the single variable* t *with coefficients given by invariants of the Möbius structure such that when* $t = F$,

$$P_1(F) = P_2(F) = P_3(F) = 0$$

must hold.

The polynomial constraints $P_1(F) = P_2(F) = P_3(F) = 0$ are explicitly computed in section 5. As a corollary, we obtain local obstructions for there to be solutions of (1).

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose the Möbius surface* $(M^2, [g], [\mathrm{P}])$ *has* $M_{ab} \neq 0$ *and admits a solution to (1). Then the following invariants of the Möbius structure have to vanish:*

$$\mathit{Res}(P_1(t), P_2(t)) = \mathit{Res}(P_1(t), P_3(t)) = \mathit{Res}(P_2(t), P_3(t)) = 0.$$

For the case when $M_{ab} = 0$, we have

Theorem 4.3. *Let* $(M^2, [g], [\mathrm{P}])$ *be a Möbius surface with* $M_{ab} = 0$. *Then* $(M^2, [g], [\mathrm{P}])$ *admits a solution to (1), with* α_a *given by*

$$\alpha_a = \frac{kY_a}{\rho} - \frac{mU_a}{\rho}, \tag{5}$$

where k, m, U_a *are quantities obtained from the Möbius structure to be defined later.*

In fact

$$M_{ab} := \nabla_{(a}\alpha_{b)} + \alpha_a\alpha_b + \mathrm{P}_{ab} - \frac{\alpha_c\alpha^c}{2} g_{ab} \tag{6}$$

for α_a given by (5), so that (1) trivially holds for Möbius surfaces with $M_{ab} = 0$. The reason M_{ab} is defined this way is so that we can use invariants of the Möbius structure to distinguish sf-MEW Möbius surfaces with $P_0(F) = 0$ from those with $P_0(F) \neq 0$. However it is unclear at the moment whether there are any examples of non-flat Möbius surfaces for which $M_{ab} = 0$. We now proceed to prove Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 in sections 5 and 6 respectively, and conclude the paper in section 7 by giving two examples of Möbius structures on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the flat metric δ_{ab} for which one admits a solution to (1) and the other does not because of non-vanishing obstructions.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1

We shall derive the polynomial constraints $P_1(F) = P_2(F) = P_3(F) = 0$ for (2) to hold. The polynomials $P_1(t)$, $P_2(t)$ and $P_3(t)$ are then obtained by replacing F with the indeterminate t. For notational brevity, introduce $U^a = \epsilon^{ab}Y_b$, $Y_b = U^a\epsilon_{ab}$.

The 1-forms U_a and Y_a each has conformal weight -2. Differentiating (4) and skewing with ϵ^{ac} gives the first constraint of the system, namely that

$$-2F^2 = \epsilon^{ac}\nabla_a Y_c + 2\epsilon^{ac} Y_c \alpha_a = \nabla_a U^a + 2\alpha_a U^a. \tag{7}$$

Define the quantities

$$\mu := \frac{\nabla_c Y^c}{2}, \qquad \phi := \frac{\nabla_c U^c}{2}.$$

We can rewrite equation (7) as

$$\alpha_a U^a = -F^2 - \phi. \tag{8}$$

Set $W_a = Y^c \nabla_c U_a + \phi Y_a - 3\mu U_a$. The 1-form W_a can be verified to be conformally invariant of weight -6. Differentiating (8) and using (3), we find that

$$\alpha_a W^a = 3(\alpha_b Y^b)F^2 + \frac{5}{2}\rho F - Y^b \nabla_b \phi + \mathrm{P}_{ba} U^a Y^b + 3\mu F^2 + 3\mu\phi,$$

where $\rho := U_a U^a = Y_a Y^a$. To simplify notation, set

$$\ell = 3\mu\phi + \mathrm{P}_{ab} U^a Y^b - Y^c \nabla_c \phi.$$

We have

$$\alpha_a W^a = \ell + \frac{5}{2}\rho F + (3\mu + 3\alpha_a Y^a)F^2. \tag{9}$$

We can now solve for α_a assuming $\sigma := Y_a W^a \neq 0$ (σ has conformal weight -10). It is given by

$$\alpha_a = \frac{Y_a}{\sigma}\left(\ell + \frac{5}{2}\rho F + (3\mu + 3\alpha_c Y^c)F^2\right) - \frac{\epsilon_{ab} W^b}{\sigma}\left(F^2 + \phi\right). \tag{10}$$

Contracting with Y^a on both sides gives

$$\alpha_a Y^a = \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\left(\ell + \frac{5}{2}\rho F + (3\mu + 3\alpha_c Y^c)F^2\right) + \frac{\tau}{\sigma}\left(F^2 + \phi\right),$$

where $\tau := U_a W^a$ has conformal weight -10. Hence

$$\left(1 - \frac{3\rho F^2}{\sigma}\right)\alpha_a Y^a = \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\left(\ell + \frac{5}{2}\rho F + 3\mu F^2\right) + \frac{\tau}{\sigma}\left(F^2 + \phi\right), \tag{11}$$

and for $P_0(F) = \sigma - 3\rho F^2 \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\alpha_a Y^a = \frac{\rho}{\sigma - 3\rho F^2}\left(\ell + \frac{5}{2}\rho F + 3\mu F^2\right) + \frac{\tau}{\sigma - 3\rho F^2}\left(F^2 + \phi\right).$$

Substituting this expression back into (10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_a =& \frac{Y_a}{\sigma}\left(\ell + \frac{5}{2}\rho F + 3\mu F^2\right) - \frac{\epsilon_{ab} W^b}{\sigma}\left(F^2 + \phi\right) \\ &+ \frac{3 Y_a \rho F^2}{\sigma(\sigma - 3\rho F^2)}\left(\ell + \frac{5}{2}\rho F + 3\mu F^2\right) + \frac{3 Y_a \tau F^2}{\sigma(\sigma - 3\rho F^2)}\left(F^2 + \phi\right).\end{aligned}$$

which simplifies to

$$\alpha_a = \frac{Y_a}{\sigma - 3\rho F^2}\left(\ell + \frac{5}{2}\rho F + 3\mu F^2\right) - \frac{\epsilon_{ab}W^b - 3F^2U_a}{\sigma - 3\rho F^2}\left(F^2 + \phi\right)$$

We can rewrite this expression to obtain

$$(\sigma - 3\rho F^2)\alpha_a = \left(Y_a\ell - \epsilon_{ab}W^b\phi\right) + \frac{5}{2}Y_a\rho F + \left(\frac{\nabla_a\rho}{2}\right)F^2 + 3F^4U_a.$$

Call $L_a = Y_a\ell - \epsilon_{ab}W^b\phi$. The 1-form L_a has conformal weight -10, and we have

$$(\sigma - 3\rho F^2)\alpha_a = L_a + \frac{5}{2}Y_a\rho F + \frac{\nabla_a\rho}{2}F^2 + 3F^4U_a. \tag{12}$$

The derivation of (12) still holds when $\sigma = 0$. We shall now proceed to prove Theorem 4.1 by deriving further algebraic constraints for there to admit a solution of (2). This involves differentiating equation (12) and using the equations (3) and (4) in the prolonged system to substitute. We then contract by the quantities U^aU^b, Y^aY^b and ϵ^{ab} to produce three polynomial constraint equations

$$P_1(F) = 0, P_2(F) = 0, P_3(F) = 0,$$

in the variable F with Möbius invariant coefficients that have to be satisfied for there to be solutions to (2). After a routine computation we find that the first polynomial constraint is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(F) =& \frac{63}{2}\rho^2F^8 - 12\rho\sigma F^6 + \begin{pmatrix} 12\rho\sigma\phi - 63\rho^2\phi^2 + 3\rho U^a\nabla_a\sigma + \frac{1}{2}(\tau + 3\mu\rho)^2 \\ +\frac{1}{2}(3\rho\phi - \sigma)^2 + \frac{3}{2}\rho U^aU^b\nabla_a\nabla_b\rho - 9\rho^2\mathrm{P}_{ab}U^aU^b \end{pmatrix} F^4 \\ &+ \left(\frac{15}{2}\rho^3\mu + \frac{5}{2}\tau\rho^2 + \frac{15}{2}\rho^2(U^aU^b\nabla_bY_a)\right)F^3 \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} (3\rho\phi - \sigma)(U^a\nabla_a\sigma) + 21\rho\phi^2\sigma - 3\phi\sigma^2 + (\rho\ell + \phi\tau)(3\mu\rho + \tau) \\ +\frac{25}{8}\rho^4 + 3\rho U^aU^b\nabla_bL_a + 6\rho\sigma\mathrm{P}_{ab}U^aU^b - \sigma\frac{U^aU^b\nabla_a\nabla_b\rho}{2} \end{pmatrix} F^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{5}{2}\rho^2(\rho\ell + \phi\tau) - \frac{5}{2}(U^aU^b\nabla_bY_a)\sigma\rho\right)F \\ &- \sigma\phi(U^a\nabla_a\sigma) + \frac{1}{2}(\rho\ell + \phi\tau)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\phi^2\sigma^2 - \sigma(U^aU^b\nabla_bL_a + \sigma\mathrm{P}_{ab}U^aU^b) \\ =& 0. \end{aligned}$$

The second constraint is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}_2(F) =& \left(\frac{\sigma - 15\rho F^2}{\sigma - 3\rho F^2}\right)\left((\rho\ell + \tau\phi) + \frac{5}{2}\rho^2 F + (\tau + 3\mu\rho)F^2\right)^2 - \frac{9}{2}\rho^2 F^8 \\ & - (9(Y^b Y^a \nabla_b U_a)\rho + 3\rho(3\phi\rho - \sigma))F^6 - 25\rho^2(\tau + 3\mu\rho)F^3 \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} 3(Y^a Y^b \nabla_b U_a)\sigma - \frac{3}{2}\rho Y^a Y^b \nabla_a \nabla_b \rho + \frac{3}{2}(\tau + 3\mu\rho)^2 \\ +9\rho^2 \mathrm{P}_{ab} Y^a Y^b + 3\phi\sigma\rho - \frac{1}{2}(3\phi\rho - \sigma)^2 \end{pmatrix} F^4 \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} Y^a Y^b \nabla_a \nabla_b \rho\sigma - \frac{185}{8}\rho^4 - 3(Y^a Y^b \nabla_b L_a)\rho - 6\rho\sigma \mathrm{P}_{ab} Y^a Y^b \\ +\phi\sigma(3\phi\rho - \sigma) + (3\mu\rho + \tau)(\rho\ell + \phi\tau) - (3\mu\rho + \tau)(Y^a \nabla_a \sigma) \end{pmatrix} F^2 \\ & + \left(\frac{11}{2}\rho\sigma(\tau + 3\rho\mu) - \frac{27}{2}\rho^2(\rho\ell + \phi\tau) - \frac{5}{2}\rho^2(Y^a \nabla_a \sigma)\right) F \\ & + (Y^a Y^b \nabla_b L_a)\sigma - \frac{5}{2}\sigma\rho^3 + \mathrm{P}_{ab} Y^a Y^b \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\rho\ell + \phi\tau)^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\phi^2\sigma^2 - (\rho\ell + \tau\phi)(Y^a \nabla_a \sigma) \\ =& 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we clear denominators to obtain $P_2(F) := P_0(F)\tilde{P}_2(F) = 0$ (the polynomial is given by $P_2(t) = P_0(t)\tilde{P}_2(t)$). Finally the third constraint is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_3(F) =& - 6\tau F^6 + 18\rho^2 F^5 + (3Y^b \nabla_b \sigma + 24(\rho\ell + \tau\phi) - 6\sigma\mu)F^4 + 13\sigma\rho F^3 \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} (3\phi - \frac{\sigma}{\rho})Y^b \nabla_b \sigma + 30\mu\phi\sigma + 30\phi\rho\ell + 30\phi^2\tau \\ -(3\mu + \frac{\tau}{\rho})(U^b \nabla_b \sigma) - 10\sigma\ell + 3\rho\epsilon^{ab}\nabla_b L_a \end{pmatrix} F^2 \\ & + \left(25\phi\sigma\rho - \frac{5\rho}{2}(U^b \nabla_b \sigma) - 8\sigma^2\right) F \\ & - \frac{\phi\sigma}{\rho} Y^b \nabla_b \sigma - (U^b \nabla_b \sigma)(\ell + \frac{\phi\tau}{\rho}) - (\epsilon^{ab}\nabla_b L_a)\sigma \\ =& 0. \end{aligned}$$

The concludes the proof of Theorem 4.1. We have so far assumed that the generic condition $P_0(F) \neq 0$ holds on M^2. In the next section, we examine the case where $P_0(F) = 0$ and (2) both hold and show that they imply $M_{ab} = 0$.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 4.3

Since $\rho = Y_a Y^a > 0$ for non-flat Möbius surfaces, computing the discriminant of $P_0(F) = 0$ gives $12\rho\sigma > 0$, or $\sigma > 0$ for there to be solutions of $P_0(F) = 0$. (In the case $\sigma = 0$, it would mean $-3\rho F^2 = 0$ which cannot happen if $\rho > 0$ and $F \neq 0$.) The Möbius structures for the examples in section 7 turn out to have $\sigma \leq 0$, so $P_0(F) = 0$ cannot occur and the test for whether $M_{ab} = 0$ can be avoided. Under the assumption $\sigma - 3\rho F^2 = 0$, we obtain from (11) that

$$0 = \rho\ell + \frac{5}{2}\rho^2 F + 3\rho\mu F^2 + \tau F^2 + \tau\phi.$$

Substituting $F^2 = \frac{\sigma}{3\rho}$ gives

$$0 = \rho\ell + \frac{5}{2}\rho^2 F + \mu\sigma + \frac{\tau\sigma}{3\rho} + \tau\phi,$$

which upon rearranging gives

$$F = -\frac{2}{5}\left(\frac{\rho\ell + \mu\sigma + \frac{\tau\sigma}{3\rho} + \tau\phi}{\rho^2}\right). \tag{13}$$

Also, the first constraint (8) becomes

$$\alpha_a U^a = -(F^2 + \phi) = -\left(\frac{\sigma}{3\rho} + \phi\right) = -m,$$

where

$$m := \frac{\sigma}{3\rho} + \phi.$$

Differentiating once more and using (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_a W^a =& \alpha_a(Y^c\nabla_c U^a + \phi Y^a - 3\mu U^a)\\ =& -Y^c\nabla_c m + \frac{1}{2}\rho F + \mathrm{P}_{ca}U^cY^a + (\phi - m)(\alpha_c Y^c) + 3\mu m.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\psi = 3\mu m + \mathrm{P}_{ca}U^cY^a - Y^c\nabla_c m.$$

We obtain the following expression for α_a:

$$\alpha_a = \frac{Y_a}{\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho F + \psi + (\phi - m)\alpha_c Y^c\right) - \frac{\epsilon_{ab}W^b}{\sigma}m. \tag{14}$$

Contracting with Y^a on both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_a Y^a =& \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho F + \psi + (\phi - m)\alpha_c Y^c\right) + \frac{\tau m}{\sigma}\\ =& \frac{\rho^2}{2\sigma}F + \frac{\psi\rho}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{3}\alpha_c Y^c + \frac{\tau m}{\sigma},\end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$\alpha_a Y^a = \frac{3\rho^2}{8\sigma}F + \frac{3(\psi\rho + \tau m)}{4\sigma}. \tag{15}$$

Substituting (15) into (14) now gives

$$\alpha_a = \left(\frac{3\rho}{8\sigma}F + \frac{3(\psi\rho + \tau m)}{4\sigma\rho}\right)Y_a - \frac{mU_a}{\rho}$$

and a further substitution of (13) gives

$$\alpha_a = \left(-\frac{3}{20}\left(\frac{\ell}{\sigma} + \frac{\mu}{\rho} + \frac{\tau}{3\rho^2} + \frac{\tau\phi}{\rho\sigma}\right) + \frac{3(\psi\rho + \tau m)}{4\sigma\rho}\right)Y_a - \frac{mU_a}{\rho}.$$

Defining the quantity k by

$$k := -\frac{3\rho}{20}\left(\frac{\ell}{\sigma} + \frac{\mu}{\rho} + \frac{\tau}{3\rho^2} + \frac{\tau\phi}{\rho\sigma}\right) + \frac{3(\psi\rho + \tau m)}{4\sigma}$$

then gives (5). Hence for Möbius structures with $P_0(F) = 0$ and (2) both holding, we necessarily must have α_a given by (5), and the tensor M_{ab} given by (6) automatically vanishes. Conversely for Möbius structures with $M_{ab} = 0$, taking α_a to be as given in (5), we obtain a solution to (2). Hence Theorem 4.3 is proved.

7. EXAMPLES

In this section we give examples of two different Möbius structures on the Euclidean plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, one with vanishing obstruction and the other without. In both examples we have $\sigma \leq 0$, so that $P_0(F) = \sigma - 3\rho F^2 \neq 0$ and we do not need to compute the Möbius invariant M_{ab}.

7.1. **Example with non-vanishing obstruction.** The first example will be the Möbius structure given by $\mathrm{P}_{ab} = x_{(a}\epsilon_{b)c}x^c$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the flat metric δ_{ab}. On $\mathbb{R}^2$ we have $K = 0$. Here x^a are standard local coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^2$ so that $\partial_a x_b = \delta_{ab}$. Let $r = x_a x^a$. For this Möbius structure, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1(t) =& 256r^2\left(\frac{63}{2}t^8 + 56t^4 - 640rt^3 + 672r^2t^2 + 320r^3t + 32r^4\right),\\
P_2(t) =& 256r^2\left(-\frac{9}{2}t^8 + 56t^4 - 7392r^2t^2 + 1472r^3t + 288r^4\right),\\
P_3(t) =& -768rt^4(t^2 - 6rt - 4r^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Using MAPLE, we find

$$\mathrm{Res}(P_1(t), P_3(t)) = 2^{142}\cdot 3^{10}r^{44}(2^4\cdot 3^2\cdot 7^2r^8 + 2^2\cdot 7^2\cdot 59\cdot 251r^4 - 3^2\cdot 131)$$

which is non-zero for general r. Similarly, the local obstructions given by $\mathrm{Res}(P_2(t), P_3(t))$ and $\mathrm{Res}(P_1(t), P_2(t))$ do not vanish on any open set. We conclude that the Möbius structure for this example admits no local solution to (2).

7.2. **Example with vanishing obstruction (and solution to (2)).** Consider the Möbius structure given by $\mathrm{P}_{ab} = x_a x_b - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab}x_c x^c$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the flat metric δ_{ab}. Again we have $K = 0$. It can be verified that $\alpha_a = \pm\epsilon_{ab}x^b$ is a solution to (2), since

$$\partial_a\alpha_b = \partial_a(\pm\epsilon_{bc}x^c) = \pm\epsilon_{ba} = \mp\epsilon_{ab},$$

and therefore, using $\epsilon_{ac}\epsilon_{bd} = \delta_{ab}\delta_{cd} - \delta_{ad}\delta_{cb}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{(a}\alpha_{b)} + \alpha_a\alpha_b + \mathrm{P}_{ab} - \frac{\alpha_c\alpha^c}{2}\delta_{ab} =& 0 + \epsilon_{ac}x^c\epsilon_{bd}x^d + (x_a x_b - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab}x_c x^c) - \frac{x_c x^c}{2}\delta_{ab}\\
=& \delta_{ab}x_c x^c - x_a x_b + x_a x_b - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab}x_c x^c - \frac{x_c x^c}{2}\delta_{ab}\\
=& 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$F_{ab} = \partial_{[a}\alpha_{b]} = \pm\epsilon_{[ba]} = \mp\epsilon_{ab},$$

so that $F = \mp 2$ depending on the sign of α_a chosen. Computing $P_1(t)$ gives

$$P_1(t) = \rho^2(t^2 - 4)\left(\frac{63}{2}t^6 + 222t^4 + (512 - \frac{9\rho^2}{32})t^2 + (384 + \frac{\rho^2}{2})\right).$$

Computing $\tilde{P}_2(t)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}_2(t) = &\left(\frac{8+15t^2}{8+3t^2}\right)\left(\frac{25}{4}\rho^4t^2\right) - \frac{9}{2}\rho^2t^8 + 48\rho^2t^6 + (136\rho^2 - \frac{9\rho^4}{32})t^4 \\ &+ (-640\rho^2 - \frac{197}{8}\rho^4)t^2 - 1536\rho^2 + 18\rho^4.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying throughout by $P_0(t) = -\rho(8+3t^2)$ and expanding the terms on the right, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}P_2(t) =& -\rho(8+3t^2)\tilde{P}_2(t) \\ =&\frac{27}{2}\rho^3(t^2-4)\left(t^8 - 4t^6 + (\frac{\rho^2}{16} - \frac{224}{3})t^4 - (\frac{6400}{27} + \frac{19}{18}\rho^2)t^2 + (\frac{8}{3}\rho^2 - \frac{2048}{9})\right).\end{aligned}$$

The third polynomial $P_3(t)$ for this example is

$$P_3(t) = 2\rho^2 t(9t^2-16)(t^2-4).$$

It therefore can be seen that $P_1(t)$, $P_2(t)$ and $P_3(t)$ share a common root t^2-4, attained when $t = F$. The local obstructions vanish for this example.

REFERENCES

[1] D.M.J. Calderbank, *Möbius structures and two-dimensional Einstein-Weyl geometry*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **504** (1998), 37–53.

[2] M. G. Eastwood, *Prolongation of linear overdetermined systems on affine and Riemannian manifolds*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **75** (Suppl.) (2005), 89–108.

[3] R. Penrose R and W. Rindler, *Spinors and space-time, Vol. 1*, Cambridge University Press, 1984.

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CANBERRA, AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: `matthew.randall@anu.edu.au`